

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DAT	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/625,610	07/24/2003	Hiroaki Kitayama	1422-0597P	7947		
2292	7590 08/0		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	EWART KOLAS	UMEZ ERONIN	UMEZ ERONINI, LYNETTE T			
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHU	, JRCH, VA 2204	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
			1765			
			DATE MAILED: 08/07/200	DATE MAILED: 08/07/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/625,610	KITAYAMA ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Lynette T. Umez-Eronini	1765			

	Lynette T. Umez-Eronini	1765					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 13 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, affice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply missing the same of the	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final rejecti	on.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origon than three months after the mailing day.	of the fee. The approprince of the final Off the of the final rejection,	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as even if timely filed,				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•	TE below);					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo			4h ! <b>f</b>				
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)							
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none.							
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>16-21</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>3-5 and 10-15</u> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to determine the second of the seco	overcome all rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).  10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
<ul><li>12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper i	NO(S).  NATINE N  SCREENSUR  EXAMINER					
10. L. Odlei		MADINER	UUR TON				
		701111503	YPATENT				
		SUPERUITA					
•		AKTUNIT	1777				
		11K   D N 1	1 163				

**Application No. 10/625,610** 

## Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

In (Currently Amended) Claim 16, "A polishing composition comprising: ....-, and wherein the Al2O3 is--...-an--...-corundum-crystal, ...-, and wherein the inorganic compound is at least ... or a salt thereof--; raises new issues that would require further consideration.